

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/901,078	07/10/2001	Rebecca Lynn Siegel	47004.000089	7083	
21967 7.	590 11/17/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			KARMIS, STEFANOS		
	AL PROPERTY DEPART	MENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			3624	TAI ER NOMBER	
	N, DC 20006-1109		DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Li
	09/901,078	SIEGEL ET AL.	Cg'
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefano Karmis	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>03 August 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) [☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u			ierits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-76 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		·
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/901,078

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed on 03 August 2004.

Status of Claims

2. Claim 1 is currently amended. Claims 10, 11, 14 and 20 are previously presented.

Claims 2-9, 12, 13, 15-19 and 21-38 are left as originally filed. Claims 39-76 are newly added.

Therefore claims 1-76 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of Office Action

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03 August 2004 has been fully considered and are not persuasive and are discussed in the next section below. Claims 1-76 stand rejected under the art cited below and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/901,078

Art Unit: 3624

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lewis, U.S. Patent 6,513,019.
- Claims 1-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lewis, U.S. Patent 6,513,019 as discussed in the paragraph 6 of the previous office action mailed 03 May 2004. Continuing with newly added claim 39, Lewis discloses receiving a baseline status of an account (col. 5, lines 19-30; figs. 2, 7, 9 and associated text); retrieving an updated status of the account after a predetermined period (col. 5, lines 19-30, figs. 2, 7, 9 and associated text) and comparing the baseline status to the updated status (col. 5, lines 19-30; Figs. 2, 7, 9 and associated text). Continuing, Lewis discloses generating an account metric based on the step of comparing the account metric including a weighting according to at least a change in level of the delinquency of the account, wherein the generation operation is performed using the processor (column 13, line 36 thru column 14, line 11). By generating views with respect to changes in credit rating and gains and losses, Lewis in turns is generating an account metric based on delinquency. Applicant is reminded that claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow *In re Zletz*, USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,078

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 40-76 contain similar limitations to claim 39 detailed above or to claims 2-38 and therefore are rejected under the same grounds as claim 39 above or claims 2-38 as stated in the previous office actions.

Response to Arguments

7. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment with respect to claim 1 to overcome the previously stated 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The Examiner believes the amendment to claim 1 is sufficient and therefore the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection no longer applies.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (703) 305-8130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,078

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 08 November 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER